arXiv:1510.02046v1 [math.GM] 6 Oct 2015

On Non-Zero Component Graph of Vector Spaces over Finite Fields

Angsuman Das*

Department of Mathematics,
St. Xavier's College, Kolkata, India.
angsumandas@sxccal.edu

Abstract

In this paper, we study non-zero component graph $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ on a finite dimensional vector space $\mathbb{V}$ over a finite field $\mathbb{F}$. We show that the graph is Hamiltonian and not Eulerian. We also characterize the maximal cliques in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ and show that there exists two classes of maximal cliques in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$. We also find the exact clique number of $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ for some particular cases. Moreover, we provide some results on size, edge-connectivity and chromatic number of $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$.

Keywords: maximal cliques, Hamiltonian graph, vector space
2008 MSC: 05C25, 05C69

1. Introduction

The study of graphs associated with of various algebraic structures was initiated by Beck [4] who introduced the idea of zero divisor graph of a commutative ring with unity. Till then, a lot of research, e.g., [13, 2, 3, 1, 8, 6, 7, 12, 16] has been done in connecting graph structures to various algebraic objects like semigroups, groups, rings, vector spaces etc. In this paper, we continue the study of one such graph called Non-Zero Component Graph [9] of a finite dimensional vector space $\mathbb{V}$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$ with respect to a basis $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ of $\mathbb{V}$.

Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{F}$ is a finite field with $q = p^t$ elements, p being a prime and $n = dim_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{V})$. We study the structures of size, connectivity, maximal cliques, clique number, chromatic number and Hamiltonicity of these graphs and other related concepts.

2. Some Preliminaries

In this section, for convenience of the reader and also for later use, we recall some definitions, notations and results concerning elementary graph theory. For undefined terms and concepts the reader is referred to [17].

*Corresponding author
Email address: angsumandas@sxccal.edu (Angsuman Das)

By a graph $G = (V, E)$, we mean a non-empty set V and a symmetric binary relation (possibly empty) E on V. The set V is called the set of vertices and E is called the set of edges of G. Two element u and v in V are said to be adjacent if $(u, v) \in E$. $H = (W, F)$ is called a *subgraph* of G if H itself is a graph and $\phi \neq W \subseteq V$ and $F \subseteq E$. If V is finite, the graph G is said to be finite, otherwise it is infinite. If all the vertices of G are pairwise adjacent, then G is said to be *complete*. A complete subgraph of a graph G is called a *clique*. A *maximal clique* is a clique which is maximal with respect to inclusion. The *clique number* of G, written as $\omega(G)$, is the maximum size of a clique in G. The *chromatic number* of G, denoted as $\chi(G)$, is the minimum number of colours needed to label the vertices so that the adjacent vertices receive different colours. A subset I of V is said to be *independent* if any two vertices in that subset are pairwise non-adjacent. The *independence number* of a graph, $\alpha(G)$, is the maximum size of an independent set of vertices in G. A *path* of length k in a graph is an alternating sequence of vertices and edges, $v_0, e_0, v_1, e_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{k-1}, e_{k-1}, v_k$, where v_i's are distinct (except possibly the first and last vertices) and e_i is the edge joining v_i and v_{i+1}. We call this a path joining v_0 and v_k. A graph is *connected* if for any pair of vertices $u, v \in V$, there exists a path joining u and v. A path with first and last vertices same is called a *cycle*. A graph is said to be *Hamiltonian* if it contains a cycle consists of all the vertices in G. A graph is said to be *Eulerian* if it contains a cycle consists of all the edges in G exactly once.

3. Definitions and Some Basic Results

Firstly, we recall the definition of Non-zero Component graph of a finite dimensional vector space and some preliminary results from [9].

Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a vector space over a field $\mathbb{F}$ with $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ as a basis and θ as the null vector. Then any vector $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{V}$ can be expressed uniquely as a linear combination of the form $\mathbf{a} = a_1\alpha_1 + a_2\alpha_2 + \cdots + a_n\alpha_n$. We denote this representation of $\mathbf{a}$ as its basic representation w.r.t. $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$. We define *Non-Zero Component graph* of a finite dimensional vector space $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha) = (V, E)$ (or simply $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$) with respect to $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ as follows: $V = \mathbb{V} \setminus \{\theta\}$ and for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in V$, $\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b}$ or $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in E$ if $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ shares atleast one α_i with non-zero coefficient in their basic representation, i.e., there exists atleast one α_i along which both $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ have non-zero components. Unless otherwise mentioned, we take the basis on which the graph is constructed as $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$. For some examples of $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$, see Figure 1.

Theorem 3.1. *[9] $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ is connected and diam$(\Gamma) = 2$.*

Theorem 3.2. *[9] $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ is complete if and only if $\mathbb{V}$ is one-dimensional.*

Theorem 3.3. *[9] The independence number of $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$, $\alpha(\Gamma(\mathbb{V})) = dim(\mathbb{V})$.*

Theorem 3.4. *[9] Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a vector space over a finite field $\mathbb{F}$ with q elements and Γ be its associated graph with respect to a basis $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$. Then, the degree of the vertex $c_1\alpha_{i_1} + c_2\alpha_{i_2} + \cdots + c_k\alpha_{i_k}$, where $c_1c_2\cdots c_k \neq 0$, is $(q^k - 1)q^{n-k} - 1$.*

Now we prove some basic results when the underlying field $\mathbb{F}$ is finite.



Figure 1: Examples of $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$

Theorem 3.5. Γ *is not Eulerian.*
Proof: If q is an odd prime then Γ is not Eulerian as from Theorem 3.4 every vertex is of odd degree. If $q = 2$, then from Theorem 3.4, all the vertices with $1 < k < n$ are of odd degree. Thus the graph is not Eulerian in any case. □

Lemma 3.1. *If* $\mathbb{V}$ *be a* n*-dimensional vector space over a finite field* $\mathbb{F}$ *with* q *elements, then the minimum degree* δ *of* $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ *is* $q^{n-1}(q-1)-1$.
Proof: From Theorem 3.4, the degree of the vertex $c_1\alpha_{i_1} + c_2\alpha_{i_2} + \cdots + c_k\alpha_{i_k}$, where $c_1c_2\cdots c_k \neq 0$, is $(q^k-1)q^{n-k}-1$ i.e., $q^n - q^{n-k} - 1$. Thus the degree will be minimized if $k = 1$ and hence $\delta = q^n - q^{n-1} - 1$. □

Corollary 3.2. *Edge connectivity of* $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ *is* $q^{n-1}(q-1)-1$.
Proof: From [15], as $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ is of diameter 2 (by Theorem 3.1), its edge connectivity is equal to its minimum degree, i.e., $q^{n-1}(q-1)-1$. □

Theorem 3.6. *If* $\mathbb{V}$ *be a* n*-dimensional vector space over a finite field* $\mathbb{F}$ *with* q *elements, then the order of* $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ *is* $q^n - 1$ *and the size* m *of* $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ *is*

$$\frac{q^{2n} - q^n + 1 - (2q-1)^n}{2}.$$

Proof: It is trivial to observe that the order of $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ is q^n-1. By Theorem 3.4, the degree of the vertex $c_1\alpha_{i_1}+c_2\alpha_{i_2}+\cdots+c_k\alpha_{i_k}$, where $c_1c_2\cdots c_k\neq 0$, is $(q^k-1)q^{n-k}-1$. Now, there are $\binom{n}{k}(q-1)^k$ vectors with exactly k many α_i's in its basic representation. Since, $2m=$ sum of the degree of all the vertices in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$, we have

$$2m=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k}(q-1)^k\left[(q^k-1)q^{n-k}-1\right]=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k}(q-1)^k\left[(q^n-1)-q^{n-k}\right]$$

$$=(q^n-1)\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k}(q-1)^k-\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k}(q-1)^kq^{n-k}$$

$$=(q^n-1)\left[(q-1+1)^n-1\right]-\left[(q+q-1)^n-q^n\right]=(q^n-1)^2+q^n-(2q-1)^n$$

$$=q^{2n}-q^n+1-(2q-1)^n$$

and hence the result. □

4. Maximal Cliques in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$

In this section, we study the structure of maximal cliques in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ and find its clique number. In the process, we show that $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ possess two different classes of maximal cliques.

Let S_β (skeleton of β) be the set of α_i's with non-zero coefficients in the basic representation of β with respect to $\{\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_n\}$. It is to be noted that two distinct β may have same S_β. Also $1\leq|S_\beta|\leq n,\forall\beta\in\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$. Let M be a maximal clique in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$ and define $S(M)=\{S_\beta:\beta\in M\}$ and $S[M]=\{|S_\beta|:S_\beta\in S(M)\}$. Since M is a clique, $S_\alpha\cap S_\beta\neq\emptyset,\forall\alpha,\beta\in M$. By maximality of M, if $\alpha\in M$ and $S_\alpha\subset S_\beta$ for some $\beta\in\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$, then $\beta\in M$.

As $\emptyset\neq S[M]\subset\mathbb{N}$, by well-ordering principle, it has a least element, say k. Then there exist some $\beta^*\in M$ with $|S_{\beta^*}|=k$, where $\beta^*=c_1\alpha_{i_1}+c_2\alpha_{i_2}+\cdots+c_k\alpha_{i_k}$. Now accordingly as $k\leq n/2$ or $k>n/2$, we show that there exists two types of maximal cliques in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a maximal clique in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$. If k is the least element of $S[M]$ and $k\leq n/2$, then M belongs to a family of maximal cliques $\{M_{k,i}:1\leq k\leq n/2;i\in\{1,2,\ldots,n\}\}$ of $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$ where $M_{k,i}=\{\beta\in\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha):\alpha_i\in S_\beta$ and $|S_\beta|\geq k\}$ and*

$$|M|=(q-1)\sum_{r=k-1}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{r}(q-1)^r.$$

Proof: Since minimum of $|S_\beta|$ for $\beta\in M$ is k ($\leq n/2$) and $S_\alpha\cap S_\beta\neq\emptyset,\forall\alpha,\beta\in M$, by Erdos-Ko-Rado theorem [11], the maximum number of pairwise-intersecting k-subsets in $S(M)$ is $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ and the maximum is achieved only if each k-subsets contain a fixed element, say α_i. As M is a maximal clique, $M=\{\beta\in\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha):\alpha_i\in S_\beta$ and $|S_\beta|\geq k\}$.

Now, the number of β's in M with $|S_\beta| = k$ and $\alpha_i \in S_\beta$ is $\binom{n-1}{k-1}(q-1)^k$. Similarly, the numbers of β's in M with $|S_\beta| = k+1, k+2, \ldots, n$ and $\alpha_i \in S_\beta$ are

$$\binom{n-1}{k}(q-1)^{k+1}, \binom{n-1}{k+1}(q-1)^{k+2}, \ldots, \binom{n-1}{n-1}(q-1)^n$$

respectively. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|M| &= \binom{n-1}{k-1}(q-1)^k + \binom{n-1}{k}(q-1)^{k+1} + \binom{n-1}{k+1}(q-1)^{k+2} + \cdots + \binom{n-1}{n-1}(q-1)^n \\ &= (q-1)\left[\binom{n-1}{k-1}(q-1)^{k-1} + \binom{n-1}{k}(q-1)^k + \cdots + \binom{n-1}{n-1}(q-1)^{n-1}\right] \\ &= (q-1)\sum_{r=k-1}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{r}(q-1)^r.\end{aligned}$$

It is to be noted that for same value of k and by fixing different α_i's, we get different maximal cliques. Since these maximal cliques depends both on k and α_i, we get a family of maximal cliques $M_{k,i}$ where $1 \leq k \leq n/2$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and $M \in M_{k,i}$. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let M be a maximal clique in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$. If k is the least element of $S[M]$ and $k > n/2$, then $k = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$ and $M = \{\beta \in \Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha) : |S_\beta| \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1\}$ and*

$$|M| = \sum_{r=k}^{n}\binom{n}{r}(q-1)^r.$$

Proof: Since $k > n/2$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in M$, $S_\alpha \cap S_\beta \neq \emptyset$. Thus the maximum number of pairwise-intersecting k-subsets in $S(M)$ is $\binom{n}{k}$. Thus by similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned}|M| &= \binom{n}{k}(q-1)^k + \binom{n}{k+1}(q-1)^{k+1} + \cdots + \binom{n}{n}(q-1)^n \\ &= \sum_{r=k}^{n}\binom{n}{r}(q-1)^r.\end{aligned}$$

Now, as $\{\beta \in \Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha) : |S_\beta| \geq k+1\} \subset \{\beta \in \Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha) : |S_\beta| \geq k\}$, by maximality of M, $M = \{\beta \in \Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha) : |S_\beta| \geq k\}$ when k is minimized provided $k > n/2$. Thus $k = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$ and hence the theorem. □

Remark 4.1. *It is obvious from Theorem 4.1, that $|M_{k,i}|$ is maximum when $k = 1$, i.e., $M_{1,i} = \{c_1\alpha_1 + c_2\alpha_2 + \cdots + c_n\alpha_n : c_i \neq 0\}$ and $|M_{1,i}| = (q-1)q^{n-1}$. Thus, the clique number of $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)$ is*

$$\omega(\Gamma(\mathbb{V}_\alpha)) = \max\{(q-1)q^{n-1}, \sum_{r=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor+1}^{n}\binom{n}{r}(q-1)^r\}$$

and it depends on the value of q and n.

Remark 4.2. *A maximal clique M contains at most one α_i, because if M contain α_i and α_j, then $\alpha_i \not\sim \alpha_j$ which contradicts that M is a clique. Moreover if M is a maximal clique containing α_i, then $M = M_{1,i}$. It follows since every $\beta \in M$ is adjacent to α_i, i.e., every β has a non-zero component along α_i and hence $M_{1,i} \subset M$. Now, by maximality of $M_{1,i}$, it follows that $M = M_{1,i}$.*

Corollary 4.1. *If $q = 2$, the clique number $\omega(\Gamma(\mathbb{V})) = 2^{n-1}$.*
Proof: If $q = 2$, $(q-1)q^{n-1} = 2^{n-1}$. Now, for $q = 2$ and n even (say $2m$),

$$\sum_{r=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor+1}^{n} \binom{n}{r}(q-1)^r = \sum_{r=m+1}^{2m} \binom{2m}{r} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{r=0}^{2m} \binom{2m}{r} - \binom{2m}{m} < 2^{2m-1} = 2^{n-1}.$$

If $q = 2$ and n is odd (say $2m+1$),

$$\sum_{r=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor+1}^{n} \binom{n}{r}(q-1)^r = \sum_{r=m+1}^{2m+1} \binom{2m+1}{r} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{r=0}^{2m+1} \binom{2m+1}{r} = 2^{2m+1-1} = 2^{n-1}.$$

Combining both the cases and using Remark 4.1, we get $\omega(\Gamma(\mathbb{V}) = 2^{n-1}$. □

Corollary 4.2. *If $q > 2$ and n is odd, the clique number*

$$\omega(\Gamma(\mathbb{V})) = \sum_{r=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor+1}^{n} \binom{n}{r}(q-1)^r.$$

Proof: It follows from the inequality proved in Appendix and Remark 4.1. □

Corollary 4.3. *If $q = 2$ and $\chi(\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ be the chromatic number $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$, then*

$$2^{n-1} \leq \chi(\Gamma(\mathbb{V}) \leq 2^{n-1} + 2^{n-2} - n/2.$$

Proof: First part of the inequality follows from Corollary 4.1 and the fact that for any graph G, $\omega(G) \leq \chi(G)$. For the other part, we use the following result from [5]:

$$\chi(G) \leq \frac{\omega(G) + |G| + 1 - \alpha(G)}{2}$$

where $\alpha(G)$ is the independence number of G. Thus, by using Corollary 4.1 and Theorem 3.3, we get for $q = 2$,

$$\chi(\Gamma(\mathbb{V}) \leq \frac{2^{n-1} + 2^n - n}{2} = 2^{n-1} + 2^{n-2} - n/2.$$

□

5. $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ is Hamiltonian

In this section, we prove that $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ is Hamiltonian except the case when $q = 2$ and $n = 2$. Firstly, we recall two classical theorems on sufficient conditions of Hamiltonicity of a graph which are crucial later in our proofs.

Theorem 5.1. *[10]* **[Dirac]** *If G is a connected graph with minimum degree δ such that $\delta \geq |G|/2$, then G is Hamiltonian.*

Theorem 5.2. *[14]* **[Nash-Williams]** *If G is a 2-connected graph with minimum degree δ and independence number I such that $\delta \geq \max\{(|G|+2)/3, I\}$, then G is Hamiltonian.*

Theorem 5.3. *If $q > 2$, then $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ is Hamiltonian.*
Proof: If $n = 1$, $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ is complete and hence it is Hamiltonian. So, we assume that $n > 1$. Since $q > 2$, by principle of mathematical induction it can be shown that for $n \geq 2, q^n > 2q^{n-1}+1$, which implies $2q^n - 2q^{n-1} - 2 > q^n - 1$, i.e., $q^n - q^{n-1} - 1 > (q^n - 1)/2$. Now by Lemma 3.1 $\delta > |G|/2$ and hence by Theorem 5.1, $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ is Hamiltonian. □

Lemma 5.1. *If $q = 2$ and $n \geq 3$, then $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ is 2-connected.*
Proof: We prove that removal of any one vertex does not make $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ disconnected, i.e., $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}) - \{\alpha\}$ is connected for any non-null vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{V}$. Let $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ be a basis of $\mathbb{V}$. Let $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ be two arbitrary non-null vectors other than α in $\mathbb{V}$. If they are adjacent in Γ, we are done. If not, since $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \neq \theta$, $\exists \alpha_i, \alpha_j$ which have non-zero coefficient in the basic representation of $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ respectively. Moreover, as $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are not adjacent, $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$. Consider $\mathbf{c} = \alpha_i + \alpha_j$. Then, $\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{b} \sim \mathbf{c}$ in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$. This provides a path between $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$. However, if $\mathbf{c}$ is the removed vertex α, then this path between $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ does not exist in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}) - \{\alpha\}$. But as $n \geq 3$, there exists α_k in the mentioned basis other than α_i, α_j. Then consider the vertex $\mathbf{d} = \alpha_i + \alpha_j + \alpha_k$ and observe that $\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{d}$ and $\mathbf{b} \sim \mathbf{d}$ in $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}) - \{\alpha\}$. Hence $\Gamma(\mathbb{V}) - \{\alpha\}$ is connected and thereby $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ is 2-connected. □

Lemma 5.2. *If $q = 2$ and $n \geq 3$, then $\delta \geq \max\{(|\Gamma(\mathbb{V})|+2)/3, \alpha(\Gamma(\mathbb{V}))\}$.*
Proof: Since $n \geq 3$, $2^{n-1} - 4 \geq 0 \Rightarrow (2^n - 2) + (2^{n-1} - 1) \geq 2^n + 1 \Rightarrow 3(2^{n-1} - 1) \geq 2^n + 1$, i.e., $2^{n-1} - 1 \geq (2^n + 1)/3$. Now for $q = 2$, $\delta = 2^{n-1} - 1$ and $|\Gamma(\mathbb{V})| = 2^n - 1$. Thus, the inequality gives us

$$\delta \geq (|\Gamma(\mathbb{V})| + 2)/3.$$

Also from Theorem 3.3, $\alpha(\Gamma(\mathbb{V})) = n$ and for $n \geq 3$,

$$\delta = 2^{n-1} - 1 \geq n.$$

Combining the above two inequalities, we get the lemma. □

Theorem 5.4. *If $q = 2$ and $n \geq 3$, then $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ is Hamiltonian.*
Proof: The theorem follows from Lemma 5.1, Lemma 5.2 and Theorem 5.2. □

Remark 5.1. *For $q = 2$ and $n = 1$, $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ is a single vertex graph and for $q = 2, n = 2$, $\Gamma(\mathbb{V})$ is isomorphic to P_3, a 3-vertex path (See Figure 1) and hence not Hamiltonian.*

Acknowledgement

The author is thankful to Professor Mridul Kanti Sen for some fruitful suggestions on the paper. The research is partially funded by NBHM Research Project Grant, (Sanction No. 2/48(10)/2013/ NBHM(R.P.)/R&D II/695), Govt. of India.

References

[1] A. Amini, B. Amini, E. Momtahan and M. H. Shirdareh Haghighi: *On a Graph of Ideals*, Acta Math. Hungar., 134 (3) (2012), 369-384.

[2] D.F. Anderson, M. Axtell, J. Stickles: *Zero-divisor graphs in commutative rings*, in Commutative Algebra Noetherian and Non-Noetherian Perspectives, ed. by M. Fontana, S.E. Kabbaj, B.Olberding, I. Swanson (Springer, New York, 2010), pp.23-45

[3] D. F. Anderson and P. S. Livingston: *The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring*, Journal of Algebra, 217 (1999), 434-447.

[4] I. Beck: *Coloring of commutative rings*, Journal of Algebra, 116 (1988), 208-226.

[5] R.C. Brigham and R.D. Dutton: *A compilation of relations between graph invariants*, Networks, 15 (1985), 73-107.

[6] P.J. Cameron, S. Ghosh: *The power graph of a finite group*, Discrete Mathematics 311 (2011) 1220-1222.

[7] I. Chakrabarty, S. Ghosh, T.K. Mukherjee, and M.K. Sen: *Intersection graphs of ideals of rings*, Discrete Mathematics 309, 17 (2009): 5381-5392.

[8] I. Chakrabarty, S. Ghosh, M.K. Sen: *Undirected power graphs of semigroups*, Semigroup Forum 78 (2009) 410-426.

[9] A. Das: *Non-Zero Component Graph of a Finite Dimensional Vector Space*, Communications in Algebra, *to appear*. available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.04905`

[10] G.A. Dirac: *Some Theorems on Abstract Graphs*, Proc. London Math. Soc (2), 69-81, 1952.

[11] P. Erdos, C. Ko and R. Rado: *Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (12), 313-320, 1961.

[12] N. Jafari Rad, S.H. Jafari: *Results on the intersection graphs of subspaces of a vector space*, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1105.0803v1`

[13] H.R. Maimani, M.R. Pournaki, A. Tehranian, S. Yassemi: *Graphs Attached to Rings Revisited*, Arab J Sci Eng (2011) 36: 997-1011.

[14] J.A. Nash-Williams: *Edge-disjoint Hamiltonian Circuits in Graphs with vertices of high valency*, in L. Mirsky eds. Studies in Pure Mathematics, Academic Press, 157-183, 1971.

[15] J. Plesnik: *Critical graphs of given diamter*, Acta Fac. Rerum Natur. Univ. Comenian. Math., 30, 71-93, 1975.

[16] Y. Talebi, M.S. Esmaeilifar, S. Azizpour: *A kind of intersection graph of vector space*, Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography 12, no. 6 (2009): 681-689.

[17] D.B. West: *Introduction to Graph Theory*, Prentice Hall, 2001.

Appendix

A Binomial Inequality: If $q > 2$ and n is odd, then

$$(q-1)q^{n-1} < \sum_{r=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor+1}^{n} \binom{n}{r}(q-1)^r.$$

Proof: Let $n = 2m+1$. Then

$$\sum_{r=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor+1}^{n} \binom{n}{r}(q-1)^r = \sum_{r=m+1}^{2m+1} \binom{2m+1}{r}(q-1)^r = (q-1)\sum_{r=m+1}^{2m+1} \binom{2m+1}{r}(q-1)^{r-1}$$

$$= (q-1)\left[\binom{2m+1}{m+1}(q-1)^m + \binom{2m+1}{m+2}(q-1)^{m+1} + \cdots + \binom{2m+1}{2m+1}(q-1)^{2m}\right]$$

$$> (q-1)\left[\binom{2m+1}{m}(q-1)^m + \binom{2m+1}{m-1}(q-1)^{m-1} + \cdots + \binom{2m+1}{0}\right]$$

[since $\binom{n}{r} = \binom{n}{n-r}$ and $i < j \Rightarrow (q-1)^i < (q-1)^j$].

Therefore, $\sum_{r=m+1}^{2m+1} \binom{2m+1}{r}(q-1)^r > (q-1)\sum_{r=0}^{m} \binom{2m+1}{r}(q-1)^r$.

$$\text{Now, } q^{2m+1} = (q-1+1)^{2m+1} = \sum_{r=0}^{m} \binom{2m+1}{r}(q-1)^r + \sum_{r=m+1}^{2m+1} \binom{2m+1}{r}(q-1)^r$$

$$> (q-1+1)\sum_{r=0}^{m} \binom{2m+1}{r}(q-1)^r,$$

i.e., $\sum_{r=0}^{m} \binom{2m+1}{r}(q-1)^r < q^{2m}$.

Thus,

$$\sum_{r=\lfloor n/2\rfloor+1}^{n}\binom{n}{r}(q-1)^r=\sum_{r=m+1}^{2m+1}\binom{2m+1}{r}(q-1)^r=q^{2m+1}-\sum_{r=0}^{m}\binom{2m+1}{r}(q-1)^r$$

$$>q^{2m+1}-q^{2m}=q^{2m}(q-1)=q^{n-1}(q-1).$$

□